

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/862,858	05/22/2001	Robert B. Chaffee	C0852/7013 JNA	8373	
23628	7590 11/24/2003		EXAMINER		
WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, PC			CONLEY, FREDRICK C		
	ESERVE PĽAZA TIC AVENUE	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, MA 02210-2211			3673		

DATE MAILED: 11/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_				$\leq M$			
	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
	09/862,858		CHAFFEE, ROBERT B.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Fredrick C Conley		3673				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, howe within the statutory mini will apply and will expire S cause the application to	ver, may a reply be tim mum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONED	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 A	August 2003 .						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-fir	ıal.					
<ol> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims</li> </ol>				e merits is			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-55</u> is/are pending in the ap	plication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from considera	ation.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16,17 and 35-40</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-7,9-15,18-20,22-27,30-34,41-44 and 49-55</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,21,28,29 and 45-48</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirer	nent.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			ived by the Examin	er.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		ion.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n prionty under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(a) or (t).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority document							
. 2. Certified copies of the priority document				-			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisiona	l application).			
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲		/ (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT				

Art Unit: 3673

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9-12, 18-20, 22-27, 41-44, and 49-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 1,423,590 to Zimmerman in view of U.S. Pat. No. 1,519,380 to Kochanski.

In reference to claim 1, Zimmerman discloses a fastener comprising:

a housing 2 sized and adapted to mate with a fastening element 4; and
a latch 10 positioned relative to the housing to retain the fastening element within
the housing; wherein the housing is formed from a sheet metal that is inherently flexible
(col. 2 lines 83-92). Zimmerman fails to discloses the depressible latch positioned
relative to the housing to retain the fastening element by interference with a lateral
surface of the fastening element. Kochanski discloses a fastener having a latch
retaining a fastening element by interference with a lateral surface of the fastening
element. It would have been obvious to have the lateral surface of the fastening
element of Zimmerman interfere with the fastening element as taught by Kochanski in
order to prevent the fastening element from being accidentally disconnected from the
fastener.

Regarding claim 2, further including a flange 1 and wherein the housing and the latch are both connected to the flange.

Art Unit: 3673

Regarding claim 3, wherein the flange is configured so that it can be connected to a sheet of material (col. lines 78-82).

Regarding claim 5, wherein the housing comprises a side wall 11 and a retaining lip (12,13).

Regarding claim 6, wherein the retaining lip (12,13) defines a downwardly extending notch to accommodate a fastening element attachment mechanism.

Regarding claim 7, wherein the side wall 11 comprises a semi-circular section.

Regarding claim 9, wherein the latch is flexible (col. 2 lines 83-92).

Regarding claim 10, wherein the latch defines a flange generally parallel to a base of the housing and projecting towards the interior of the housing (fig. 11).

Regarding claim wherein the latch defines a protrusion having a portion corresponding to the shape of the fastening element (fig. 11).

Regarding claim 12, wherein the fastener is formed in a sheet of material (col. 2 lines 77-82).

In reference to claim 18, Zimmerman discloses a fastener comprising:; a housing 2 sized and adapted to mate with a fastening element 4; and a latch 10 positioned relative to the housing to retain the fastening element within the housing, wherein the latch comprises a protrusion having a portion corresponding to the shape of the fastening element. Zimmerman fails to discloses comprising a first portion to which pressure is applied when the fastening element is moved into engagement with the fastener and a second portion upon which the fastening element rests when in an engaged position, at least a part of the first portion being disposed at a distance from

Art Unit: 3673

the surface which is greater than the distance from the second portion to the surface. Kochanski discloses a fastener having a latch 14 with a first portion to which pressure is applied when the fastening element is moved into engagement with the fastener and a second portion upon which the fastening element rests when in an engaged position (col. 2 lines 79-86), at least a part of the first portion being disposed at a distance from the surface which is greater than the distance from the second portion to the surface (fig. 6 & 8). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time of the invention to have the latch of Zimmerman with a first portion and second portions as taught by Kochanski in order to prevent the fastening element from being accidentally disconnected from the fastener.

Regarding claim 19, further including a flange 1 and wherein the housing and the latch are both connected to the flange.

Regarding claim 20, wherein the flange is configured so that it can be connected to a sheet of material (col. 2 lines 78-82).

Regarding claim 22, wherein the housing comprises a side wall 11 and a retaining lip (12,13).

Regarding claim 23, wherein the retaining lip (12,13) defines a notch to accommodate a fastening element attachment mechanism.

Regarding claim 24, wherein the sidewall comprises a semi-circular section.

Regarding claim 25-26, wherein the housing and latch are flexible (col. 2 lines 83-92). Flexible is defined as capable of being bent or flexed. The apparatus disclosed by Zimmerman is constructed from a single flat piece of sheet metal and

Art Unit: 3673

formed or bent with a die to form a housing with a flexible tongue, therefore the sheet metal would clearly have an inherent flexibility in order for the housing to be formed by the die.

Regarding claim 27, wherein the latch comprises a flange generally parallel to a base of the housing and projecting towards the interior (fig. 11).

Regarding claim 41. Zimmerman discloses a fastener, comprising:

a housing 2 sized and adapted to retain a fastening element 4; and

a latch 10 positioned relative to the housing to retain the fastening element in the housing. Zimmerman fails to disclose the latch and housing both being configured and arranged to be in an un-flexed state while operating to retain the fasting element in the housing. Kochanski discloses a fastener having a latch configured and arranged to be in an un-flexed state while operating to retain the fastening element. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time of the invention to have the latch taught by Kochanski in order to prevent the fastening element from being accidentally disconnected from the fastener.

Regarding claim 42, wherein the housing is flexible(col. 2 lines 83-92). Flexible is defined as capable of being bent or flexed. The apparatus disclosed by Zimmerman is constructed from a single flat piece of sheet metal and formed or bent with a die to form a housing with a flexible tongue, therefore the sheet metal would clearly have an inherent flexibility in order for the housing to be formed by the die.

Regarding claim 43, further including a flange 1 and wherein the housing and the latch are both connected to the flange.

Art Unit: 3673

Regarding claim 44 and 52, wherein the flange is configured so that it can be connected to a sheet of material (col. 2 lines 78-82).

Regarding claim 49, wherein the latch is flexible (col. 2 lines 79-86)(Kochanski).

Regarding claim 50. wherein the latch comprises a flange 1 generally parallel to a base of the housing, the latch projecting towards the interior of the housing (Zimmerman).

Regarding claim 51, wherein the latch comprises a bent portion corresponding to the shape of the fastening element (fig. 8)(Kochanski).

Regarding claim 53, wherein the latch is depressible.

Regarding claim 54, wherein latch is positioned relative to the housing to retain the fastening element within the housing by interference with a lateral surface of the fastening element (fig. 8)(Kochanski).

Regarding claim 55, wherein the fastener is attached to an object and the latch is depressible in the direction of the object (col. 2 lines 79-86)(Kochanski).

Art Unit: 3673

Claims 13-17 and 30-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 5,855,033 to Frissen in view of U.S. Pat. No. Zimmerman, and further in view U.S. Pat. No. 1,519,380 to Kochanski.

In reference to claim 13, Frissen discloses a fastener assembly, comprising: a fastening element 29,

a housing 31 connected to a bladder (23,25) and sized to mate with the fastening element. Frissen fails to disclose a latch positioned relative to the housing to retain the fastening element within the housing. Zimmerman discloses a fastener assembly having a latch 10 positioned relative to a housing 9 to retain a fastening element 4. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ a fastening assembly having a latch positioned relative to the housing in order to prevent the components from becoming unintentionally disconnected. Frissen fails to discloses the depressible latch positioned relative to the housing to retain the fastening element by interference with a lateral surface of the fastening element. Kochanski discloses a fastener having a latch retaining a fastening element by interference with a lateral surface of the fastening element. It would have been obvious to have the lateral surface of the fastening element of Frissen interfere with the fastening element as taught by Kochanski in order to prevent the fastening element from being accidentally disconnected from the fastener.

Regarding claim 14, wherein the fastening element is flexible (col. 2 lines 83-92).

Regarding claim 15, wherein the housing is flexible (col. 2 lines 83-92).

Art'Unit: 3673

Regarding claim 30, wherein the housing comprises a side wall 11 and a retaining lip (12,13)(Zimmerman).

Regarding claim 31, wherein the retaining lip comprises a notch 8 to accommodate a fastening element attachment mechanism.

Regarding claim 32, wherein the side wall 11 comprises a semicircular section.

Regarding claim 33, wherein the latch comprises a portion corresponding to a shape of the fastening element .

Regarding claim 34, wherein the latch is depressible and is positioned relative to the housing to retain the fastening element by interference with a lateral surface of the fastening element (col. 2 lines 79-86)(Kochanski).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 16-17 and 35-40 are allowed.

Claims 4, 21, 28-29, and 45-48 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3673

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 and 9-55 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fredrick C Conley whose telephone number is 308-7468. The examiner can normally be reached on m-th m-fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on 308-2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-2168.

FC

TERI PHAM LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER